

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/721,350	11/26/2003	Yasuo Miyake	65933-060	6163
7590 03/21/2006			EXAMINER	
McDERMOTT, WILL & EMERY 600 13th Street, N.W.			MARTIN, ANGELA J	
Washington, DC 20005-3096			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			1745	

DATE MAILED: 03/21/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/721,350	MIYAKE ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Angela J. Martin	1745	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 Ja</u> This action is FINAL .	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	•	merits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1,2,11,12,14,15 and 17-22 is/are pend 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,2,11,12,14,15 and 17-22 is/are rejection of the complex of the	vn from consideration. cted. election requirement.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the confidence of th	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CF	• •
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National S	Stage
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	te	-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/721,350

Art Unit: 1745

DETAILED ACTION

This Office Action is responsive to the Amendment filed on January 6, 2006. The Applicant has amended claims 1, 2, 11, 12, 17, 18; canceled claims 3-10, 14, 15, 20; added new claims 21, 22. However, Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, this action is made final.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 2, 11, 12, 14, 15, 17-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cortright et al., U.S. Pat. Application Pub. 2003/0220531 A1, in view of Fleisher, U.S. Pat. No. 5,580,681, and in further view of Fleisher et al., U.S. Pat. Application Pub. 2002/0122980 A1.

Rejection of claims 1, 2, 11, 12, 14, 15, 17, 18 drawn to an electrode; claims 19-22 drawn to a fuel cell.

Cortright et al., teach an electrode for a fuel cell comprising a catalyst including a proton-conducting substance (sect. 0031). It teaches a catalyst, a carrier supporting the catalyst, a catalyst comprising an ion-exchange resin, and a conductive porous substrate supporting ht catalyst, wherein the catalyst includes a proton-conducting substance (sect. 0018). It teaches the proton-conducting substance is an acid, which is

Application/Control Number: 10/721,350

Art Unit: 1745

solid, and is a heteropolyacid (sect. 0045). It teaches the heteropolyacid is phosphotungstic acid (sect. 0045). It teaches the proton-conducting substance is a fullerene derivative (sect. 0018; 0077). It teaches a fuel cell (sect. 0004; 0028; 0031) comprising the above-described electrode. It teaches a fuel cell comprising an electrode for a fuel cell in a fuel-feeding side, an electrode for a fuel cell in an oxygen-feeding side, and a solid electrolyte membrane between the electrodes, wherein at least one of the electrodes is the electrode for the above claimed fuel cell (sect. 0031)

Fleisher teaches an electrode comprising a catalyst layer (col. 17, lines 35-40) including an ion-exchange resin and a proton-conducting substance (col. 8, lines 54-63; col. 22, lines 45-50), wherein the proton-conducting substance is a solid acid having a water of crystallization (col. 16, lines 45-47). It teaches the solid acid is a heteropolyacid (col. 22, lines 45-50). It teaches solid state proton conductors in fuel cells (col. 11, lines 41-46).

Fleisher et al., teach the heteropolyacid is phosphomolybdic acid, silicomolybdic acid, phosphotungstic acid, silicotungstic acid (sect. 0100). It teaches a water of crystallization of the solid acid (sect. 0155). It teaches the use of heteropolyacids in fuel cells (sect. 0103).

Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to insert the teachings of Fleisher and Fleisher et al., into the teachings of Cortright et al., because Fleisher and Fleisher et al., give examples of the types of heteropolyacids used in the fuel cell and teach that the heteropolyacids have a water of crystallization which helps prevent water loss.

Application/Control Number: 10/721,350 Page 4

Art Unit: 1745

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to above claims have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. By amending the independent claims with "water of crystallization", a new rejection had to be presented since previous claims did not depend on this limitation.

Conclusion

- 4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Nokuma et al., WO 01/13295, teach a proton-conductive electrode for fuel cells comprising a fullerene derivative. Yonezu et al, JP 2002-015746, teach a fuel cell electrode comprising a catalyst and a proton conducting body.
- 5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Art Unit: 1745

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Angela J. Martin whose telephone number is 571-272-

1288. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:00 am to 5:00

pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Patrick Ryan can be reached on 571-272-1292. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

AJM

SUPERVISC...

2.